PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: 11/06/2023 **Date Final Report Submitted:** 07/07/2024

| Auditor Certification | | |
|---|--|---------|
| The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge. | | |
| No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review. | | |
| I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template. | | |
| Auditor Full Name as Signed: Timothy M. Evinger Date of Signature: 07/ | | 07/2024 |

| AUDITOR INFORMA | ATION |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Auditor name: | Evinger, Timothy M. |
| Email: | tim@evinger.us |
| Start Date of On- Site Audit: | 10/06/2023 |
| End Date of On-Site Audit: | 10/07/2023 |

| FACILITY INFORMATION | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Facility name: | John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex |
| Facility physical address: | 7000 Michael Canlis Blvd., French Camp, California - 95231 |
| Facility mailing address: | |

Primary Contact

| Name: | Steve Martinez |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Email Address: | sfmartinez@sjgov.org |
| Telephone Number: | (209) 468-4574 |

| Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director | |
|--|--------------------|
| Name: | Patrick Withrow |
| Email Address: | pwithrow@sjgov.org |
| Telephone Number: | (209) 468-5025 |

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

| Facility Health Service Administrator On-site | |
|---|-------------------|
| Name: | Lakisha Holton |
| Email Address: | lholton@sjgov.org |
| Telephone Number: | (209) 468-4487 |

| Facility Characteristics | |
|---|------------------------|
| Designed facility capacity: | 1550 |
| Current population of facility: | 1287 |
| Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 1265 |
| Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months? | No |
| Which population(s) does the facility hold? | Both females and males |
| Age range of population: | 18-77 |
| Facility security levels/inmate custody levels: | 1 through 8 |
| Does the facility hold youthful inmates? | No |

| Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates: | 608 |
|---|-----|
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 13 |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 35 |

| AGENCY INFORMATION | | |
|---|--|--|
| Name of agency: | San Joaquin County Sheriff's Office | |
| Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable): | | |
| Physical Address: | 7000 Michael Canlis Blvd., French Camp, California - 95231 | |
| Mailing Address: | | |
| Telephone number: | | |

| Agency Chief Executive Officer Information: | | |
|---|--|--|
| Name: | | |
| Email Address: | | |
| Telephone Number: | | |

| Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Steven Martinez | Email Address: | sfmartinez@sjgov.org |

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of

Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

| audited. | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Number of stand | dards exceeded: | |
| 0 | | |
| Number of standards met: | | |
| 40 | | |
| Number of standards not met: | | |
| 5 | 115.13 - Supervision and monitoring 115.15 - Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches 115.17 - Hiring and promotion decisions 115.42 - Use of screening information 115.86 - Sexual abuse incident reviews | |

| POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION | | |
|---|---|--|
| GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION | | |
| On-site Audit Dates | | |
| 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit: | 2023-10-06 | |
| 2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit: | 2023-10-07 | |
| Outreach | | |
| 10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility? | YesNo | |
| a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated: | Interviewed Carmen Gomez, PREA Coordinator. Prevail Crisis Center | |
| AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION | | |
| 14. Designated facility capacity: | 1550 | |
| 15. Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 1257 | |
| 16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: | 17 | |
| 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees? | Yes No Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility) | |

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit 1196 **36.** Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit: 0 38. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 39. Enter the total number of inmates/ 83 residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 40. Enter the total number of inmates/ 0 residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 41. Enter the total number of inmates/ 1 residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 42. Enter the total number of inmates/ 2 residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 43. Enter the total number of inmates/ 4 residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:

| 44. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 1 |
|---|--|
| 45. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 46. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 47. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations): | Items 46 and 47 are not currently tracked. It is suspected that there are a number of LEP (#42) inmates, but it is not tracked. The community has a significant Hispanic, Spanish speaking population, however much of the staff also speaks Spanish. Auditor identified two LEP to interview, while the facility reported zero in custody. Auditor utilized a language line provided by the facility and conducted the interviews in Spanish with the remote interpreter. |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit | |
| 49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 763 |

| 50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 35 |
|---|-------------------|
| 51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 13 |
| 52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | No text provided. |
| INTERVIEWS | |
| Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | |
| Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | 5 |
| 53. Enter the total number of RANDOM | 17 |
| INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | |

| 55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse? | Interviewed inmates in every housing unit to ensure diverse population interviewed. |
|--|---|
| 56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/ resident/detainee interviews? | YesNo |
| 57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | No text provided. |
| Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | 5 |
| As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/ resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/ residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0". | |
| 60. Enter the total number of interviews | 1 |

conducted with inmates/residents/

the "Disabled and Limited English

Proficient Inmates" protocol:

detainees with a physical disability using

| 61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
|--|---|
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| 62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Could not find documentation and staff knew of none that met this condition. |
| 63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|---|---|
| 64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 2 |
| 65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 1 |
| 66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 1 |
| 67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | ■ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ■ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |

| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Could not find documentation and staff knew of none that met this condition. |
|--|---|
| 68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Could not find documentation and staff knew of none that met this condition. |
| 69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol: | 0 |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|--|---|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Facility staff advise that they do not use segregation for this reason. |
| 70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews): | No text provided. |
| Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interv | riews |
| | |
| Random Staff Interviews | |
| 71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed: | 19 |
| 71. Enter the total number of RANDOM | Length of tenure in the facility Shift assignment Work assignment Rank (or equivalent) Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) None |

| 74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | No text provided. |
|---|---|
| Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor | Interviews |
| Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements. | |
| 75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors): | 14 |
| 76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head? | YesNo |
| 77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee? | ● Yes ○ No |
| 78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator? | YesNo |
| 79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager? | Yes No NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards) |

80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF Agency contract administrator roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that Intermediate or higher-level facility staff apply) responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) Medical staff Mental health staff Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches Administrative (human resources) staff Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation First responders, both security and nonsecurity staff Intake staff

| | Other |
|--|--|
| 81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/ residents/detainees in this facility? | Yes No |
| 82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility? | Yes No |
| a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed: | 4 |
| b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply) | Security/detention Education/programming Medical/dental Food service Maintenance/construction Other |
| 83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff. | No text provided. |

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

| compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information. | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility? | YesNo |
| Was the site review an active, inquiring proce | ess that included the following: |
| 85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, crossgender viewing and searches)? | YesNo |
| 86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)? | |
| 87. Informal conversations with inmates/ residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | YesNo |
| 88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | YesNo |
| | |

| 89. Provide any additional comments | No text provided. |
|--|-------------------|
| regarding the site review (e.g., access to | |
| areas in the facility, observations, tests | |
| of critical functions, or informal | |
| conversations). | |
| | |

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

| 90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? | YesNo |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). | No text provided. |

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual abuse allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|---|
| Inmate- on- inmate sexual abuse | 7 | 3 | 7 | 3 |
| Staff- on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 7 | 3 | 7 | 3 |

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual harassment allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment | 8 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 10 | 2 | 10 | 2 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/ Court Case Filed | Convicted/ Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Staff-on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 |

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/ Court Case Filed | Convicted/ Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|---|---------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

| 98. Ent | er the total | number o | of SEXUAL |
|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| ABUSE | investigatio | on files re | viewed/ |
| sample | d: | | |

2

| 99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | YesNoNA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files) |
|---|---|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation | files |
| 100. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 2 |
| 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? | YesNoNA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
| 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? | YesNoNA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation fil | es |
| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |
| 104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? | No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |

| 105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? | No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
|---|--|
| Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Select | ed for Review |
| 106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 2 |
| 107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files) |
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investig | gation files |
| 108. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 1 |
| 109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| 110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |

| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files | | |
|--|---|--|
| 111. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 1 | |
| 112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) | |
| 113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) | |
| 114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files. | No text provided. | |
| SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION | | |
| DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support S | taff | |
| 115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | Yes No | |

| Non-certified Support Staff | | |
|--|---|--|
| 116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | YesNo | |
| a. Enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON- CERTIFIED SUPPORT who provided assistance at any point during this audit: | 2 | |
| AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND | COMPENSATION | |
| 121. Who paid you to conduct this audit? | The audited facility or its parent agency My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) Other | |

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11

Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Interviews with staff and inmates confirmed that the agency has a stated non-tolerance expectation. Additionally, policy 3.1.16 was submitted to the auditor and reviewed with the zero tolerance affirmation at the top of the policy statement.

"San Joaquin County Sheriff's Office has a zero-tolerance of threats and acts of sexual assault among inmates and staff sexual misconduct towards inmates. Such acts are strictly prohibited and subject to administrative and criminal disciplinary sanctions."

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

| 115.12 | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | N/A |
| | The facility does not house their inmates under contract with any other institution. |

115.13 Supervision and monitoring

Auditor Overall Determination: Does Not Meet Standard

Auditor Discussion

Honor Farm - line of sight, lack of staff and/or cameras. While lack of video cameras is not sufficient for finding the facility non-compliant, the staffing plan needs to be considered whereas there are multiple areas at the Honor Farm in which staff can be alone with inmates that are working. Additional staff can help alleviate the issue, fixed video cameras, or body cameras with accompanying body camera policy for instances when staff is alone with inmates. In one scenario, a specialist drives daily in the front of a three seat delivery truck, shoulder to shoulder with one female specialist and two opposite gender, male, inmates in the cab of the truck.

The SJSO was determined to be non-compliant with this Standard during the issuance of the Interim Report, following the on-site initial audit.

Staffing Policy 1.1.11 includes minimum staffing for safety of inmates and facility staff. It allows for voluntary, forced, and emergency overtime plans to meet set minimum staffing levels. The facility also ensures that there are male and female staff available in their staffing model for search and pat down purposes.

Following the onsite PREA audit, the SJSO administration determined that they would pursue body cameras for the honor farm staff who work in the warehouse and operate vehicles with inmates. Upon visiting the site to review progress on the Corrective Action Plan, the PREA Manager advises that they have informally surveyed staff at the honor farm and find that staff are generally welcoming body cameras for their protection. Prior to procuring and issuing the cameras and policy, the SJSO administration will first meet and confer with the bargaining unit regarding the deployment and expectation surrounding the cameras. The PREA Manager and the Sheriff's Office Administration intends to discuss the intent with labor, that there will be a policy that supervisors will check to ensure the cameras are being used, actively looking for a recording light, and manage any policy violations of not turning on the cameras when alone with inmates through progressive discipline.

Due to the labor implications and time still needed to meet and confer, procure, train and implement the body cameras, this Standard has not yet been addressed with

either the augmentation of staff and addressed with through the staffing plane, or with technology.

The auditor finds that the SJSO is not compliant with this Standard.

| 115.14 | Youthful inmates |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | N/A This facility does not house youthful inmates. |

115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

Auditor Overall Determination: Does Not Meet Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The facility does not conduct cross-gender announcements. This was determined through both inmate and staff interviews. However, there is one exception to the lack of this practice. In the dormitory restrooms, at the Honor Farm, which are open and visible areas, the auditor learned that it is common practice for females to announce their presence in the restroom area.

There is no policy addressing the cross gender announcement for the facility which should include: Method(s) used to alert individuals confined in the facility that an opposite-gender staff person has entered a housing unit/area where they are likely to be in a state of undress (i.e., cross-gender announcement). Alert methods might include a verbal announcement, distinct buzzer, bell or other noise-making device.

Additionally, there is no evidence of medical record reviews or medical examination for transgender inmates to understand how to house the transgender individuals. "If an inmate's genital status is unknown, the facility may determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner." The auditor would be more comfortable if there were no situations that this may have helped in housing assignments. However there was at least one inmate interviewed that advised that they were medically transitioning as well as verbally identifying as transgender, but not housed as such.

Following the comprehensive review the Interim Report documented that The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex was determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor re-visited the facility during the Corrective Action period. Policy 3.1.16 has been updated. Section III, Supervision and Monitoring is updated to state:

"When entering a unit, if the staff member is the opposite gender of the inmates, he or she shall announce his/her presence (PC 4021).

1. This may be accomplished with a verbal announcement or ringing a bell at the officer's podium."

The auditor visited several housing units and spoke to officers at the podium as well as inmates housed within these units. In one housing unit, the bell was not readily available until the auditor inquired. An officer found located the bell under the podium. Most staff could answer the questions about what the bell's intent is. Inmates either did not know what the bell meant, or believed that it meant someone was coming in the housing unit, but not necessarily understanding that the bell was to alert that the opposite gender was entering. Inmates indicate that they do occasionally hear staff announce "female entering" or a similar announcement.

For these reasons, the auditor did not observe that the institutionalization of this new policy direction has been achieved.

The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.16

Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

While the information is available on the inmate tablets through the inmate handbook and a video to be played in booking, inmate interviews did not reveal that inmates remembered the video playing in booking. Furthermore, the auditor was present in booking on two separate occasions and did not observe the video playing. Additionally, the Inmate Handbook provided is in English only.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard during

the initial on-site audit.

During the follow-up visit of the facility during the corrective action period, the auditor found that the facility had made significant progress on this Standard. Videos were observed available in all housing areas on a specific channel. Additionally, a PREA video was playing in the booking area on a continuous loop. The videos display closed captioning with Spanish subtitles. A Prevail crisis center posters were observed in each of the housing areas in both English and Spanish. The auditor also observed the distribution of a Inmate Handbook that has a pink cover. This pink version of the handbook is in Spanish for those with limited English proficiency. The facility staff advises that they rarely have anyone who can't speak English and/or Spanish. In these instances, including for those inmates with a hearing disability who need ASL, staff is familiar with a language line service that is available for interpretation purposes.

During the follow-up visit, the facility is determined to be compliant with this standard.

115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

Auditor Overall Determination: Does Not Meet Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.17 (b)-1 Practice in place but not supported by policy for inquiry and review for promotion. Not asked during reviews of current employees. 115.17 (f). The facility was found not compliant with this standard during the initial onsite portion of the audit.

The auditor revisited the facility during the Corrective Action Period (CAP). The auditor was advised by the PREA Coordinator that the questions and screening set forth in this standard were added to the promotional process, but no document demonstrating this change was available prior to the end of the CAP.

The facility is found not compliant with this standard.

115.18 Upgrades to facilities and technologies Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A

thorough on-site tour has been conducted. The auditor looked at the video views available to staff and also was shown where additional cameras were being place throughout the buildings. The facility is currently undergoing a multi-million dollar upgrade to video monitoring of both buildings. This upgrade does not include the limited video capability currently available at the honor farm at the jail complex.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The agency conducts it's own internal investigations as well as performing the criminal investigations when not implicating a staff member. The prosecutor's office is involved should a staff member be an alleged perpetrator in a sexual abuse case involving an inmate.

The facility defers all forensic medical exams to the County Hospital, which is in close proximity to the jail complex. The auditor confirmed with the correctional health care manager that they have SAFE/SANE nurses on staff at the County General Hospital where they provide the service to the Sheriff's Office referrals for those in or out of custody.

The auditor also confirmed and spoke with Prevail, a crisis center that has an Memorandum of Understanding with the SJSO Jail for victim services. The facility and/ or County Hospital ensure that the victim has an advocate accompany them and support them throughout the examination and investigation.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The facility refers any criminal investigations in which staff are alleged to the Field Forces (patrol) through dispatch. Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard. During the onsite portion, the website did not address the policy of who investigates allegations. https://sjsheriff.org/prea.

During the follow up CAP visit, the website had been updated to include the policy number 3.1.16 for more information about how the investigations are conducted.

The facility is found to be compliant with this standard.

115.31 Employee training

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

However, it is recommended to have refresher training between the biennial training that the facility tracks and requires of its staff.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.32 Volunteer and contractor training

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

While the facility has records of recently training all volunteers and contractors, it

lacks a tracking method in which to ensure that the individuals' annual training is recognized when it is due and a way to follow up when to deactivate their access if they do not complete the annual training.

It is recommended that a system is put in place to track and ensure the training is complete. It should be noted that some contractors are managed by an Administrator, and the volunteers and other contractors are managed by a Program Manager. Both should implement a tracking system.

The auditor learned that the facility had only recently been opened back up for volunteers after not allowing them during the COVID-19 pandemic. Upon review during the Corrective Action Period (CAP) the volunteer administrator had instituted a tracking system (9/23) for the training and background of the volunteers allowed in the facility who would have contact with any inmates.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.33 Inmate education

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The auditor determined that not all of the inmates receive this information. While it is available on tablets, there are some housing assignments that do not facilitate the use of tablets (e.g. Ad Seg). Some staff interviewed advised that there are times when there are no printed handbooks available.

During the Corrective Action Period, the auditor again spoke with staff and inmates and learned that they now have readily accessible Inmate Handbooks, both in English and Spanish. The auditor observed extra handbooks in the housing units as well as a handbook in either English of Spanish staged and ready with each inmate's paperwork in the booking area. Their paperwork and Inmate Handbooks are distributed to each inmate after their booking and change-out is complete.

While not compliant with this standard during the initial onsite portion of the audit, following the comprehensive review during the Corrective Action Period, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.34 Specialized training: Investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The auditor determined that those investigating are experienced in investigations including sexual abuse, however they do not take training that is specific to conducting such investigations in confinement settings.

The auditor recommends the investigators, and possibly first responders to an incident, take the training at the following link, or a similar curriculum provided by various entities. https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/resource/specialized-training-investigating-sexual-abuse-confinement-settings

Following the initial onsite comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor returned during the Corrective Action Period. During this visit, the auditor was provided evidence of the investigators attending specialized training in the form of training certificates from the National Institute of Corrections (NIC). Following the CAP visit and document inspection, the facility is determined to be compliant with this standard.

115.35 | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The behavioral health and medical staff interviewed all had an understanding of their responsibilities around this Standard, including being trained in (1) How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (2) How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; (3) How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and (4) How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm

Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The policy needs to require that the facility reassess each inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness within a set time period, not to exceed 30 days after the inmate's arrival at the facility, based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening.

The Jail Management System does not capture all of the metrics in the classification form, including being able to search for those that have reported prior sexual victimization.

The policy and screening should include considering the inmate's own views on their vulnerability. Additionally, the screening tool does not capture transgender to ______. Only appears with a check box that they are transgender. Finally, it would be more appropriate to change the section called "Issues" to "Considerations". The facility should also update to the current terminology for LGBTQ+.

Following the initial on-site audit, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor returned for a Corrective Action Plan on-site visit. The facility had taken the following actions regarding Standard 115.41:

Updated the screening form to include previous victimization, updated LGBTQ+ terminology, including transgender and the ability to add notes in this section and added gender identity to the form. The Program Assessment Form also contains possible risk of victimization questions for either the classification officer's own views or to be used as prompts to ask the inmate.

Staff indicate that they would definitely not discipline an inmate for not disclosing complete information related to) questions regarding: (a) whether or not the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (b) whether or not the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming; (c) whether or not the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; and (d) the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. Policy 3.1.16 has been updated as of February 26, 2024 to include not disciplining inmates for not

disclosing any of the above mentioned situations.

Following the Corrective Action Period, the facility is determined to be compliant with this standard.

115.42 Use of screening information

Auditor Overall Determination: Does Not Meet Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The auditor finds that in at least one instance that a transgender female has been housed with male cellmates after letting screening staff know she was transgender. The screening tool only indicates that the trans-female is "transgender" but does not capture the gender identity of the inmate. Additionally, one transgender female inmate was addressed with masculine pronouns by staff.

During the comprehensive initial onsite audit, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor revisited the site during the Corrective Action Period. It was determined that the facility has sent an email directive for classification officers to house any inmates that identify as transgender in a cell alone. The policy needs to be updated with this direction. This is not considered solitary or segregated as this jail has co-ed housing areas and not assigning a cellmate is common. While this may be the safest way to house the inmate, it would be appropriate that while incorporating this in policy, consider a transgender or intersex inmate's housing on a case-by-case basis; whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems.

It is determined that the facility is not compliant with this standard.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A

thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

While the interviews and records review exhibit that the facility is compliant in practice and does not utilize involuntary protective custody for inmates for this purpose, the policy does not specifically address this and no procedure for it is found. It is highly recommended that the facility develop a policy and/or procedure for how they prohibit the placement of inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers.

Following the comprehensive onsite audit, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor re-visited the facility during the Corrective Action Period. The facility has updated Policy 3.1.16 and distributed and adopted the changes on February 26, 2023. The policy now states: "Inmates determined to be at high risk for sexual victimization will not be placed in involuntary housing unless there is no available alternative for means of separation from likely abusers".

It is determined that the facility is compliant with this standard.

115.51 Inmate reporting

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The telephone system was tested and verified that the number to the crisis center is free for inmates and that it is not recorded. It should be noted that while the call is not monitored, total anonymity of who has called the crisis center is not realized. The call is compliant as it is confidential, but an inmate PIN must be used and the call is documented in the system. Some jail staff and administrators can see who called the number if they were to search for this criteria. This is a feature of the phone system that is a contracted service. The Standard allows for this practice when the hotline is a dedicated phone, then the phone should also be used for other purposes besides reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The MOU with Prevail, the crisis center providing the services, is specific for "emotional support, crisis information, and referrals to to incarcerated victims of sexual abuse including but not limited to during the forensic medical examination process and investigative interview(s)." This phone

system functionality would meet best practices if the PIN was not identifiable to an inmate, or the MOU was expanded to some of the other services offered by this crisis center.

The facility had posters in both English and Spanish posted throughout the housing areas. The posters provide the phone number and ways to report sexual abuse or harassment to anonymously to a through a third party. Additionally, the Inmate Handbook provides information on how to report.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.52 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The process for grievances is supported in 3.1.16. The auditor reviewed Page 11, Section 11. The top of Page 10 and Page 15, Section 7.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The facility has an executed agreement with a crisis center, Prevail. Additionally, the information regarding the purpose and contact information for the hotline is posted in the housing units and in the Inmate Handbook.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.54 Third-party reporting

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The posters utilized from Prevail do not indicate that a third party may make a complaint on behalf of the inmate. Additionally, the Sheriff Citizen Complaint form does not indicate it is for third parties, and addresses police misconduct, rather than an inmate victimizing another inmate. The posting of someone being able to make a third party report need to be posted widely for the public. The facility should also consider posting this message on its website.

While the practice and availability for third party reports is in place. There is documentation and postings lacking. Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor revisited the facility during the Corrective Action Period. The Prevail crisis center posters had been updated to indicate that an inmate could have a third-party make a report for them.

The facility is determined to be compliant with this Standard.

115.61 Staff and agency reporting duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

During interviews, staff understand and all indicate that they would report an incident with the following situations, consistent with the following standards:

115.61 (a)-1

The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency.

115.61 (a)-2

The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such an incident.

115.61 (a)-3

The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

However, policy does not address the immediacy for reporting situations that meet the listed conditions. The facility needs to update their policy to match the practice that is commensurate with staff training on the immediacy requirement.

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor revisited the facility during the Corrective Action Period. Policy 3.1.16 has been updated and place into effect on February 26, 2024. (Refer Section IX, A). The policy now directs that staff "immediately" report the above listed activities.

The facility is determined to be compliant with this Standard.

115.62 Agency protection duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

During the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard. It is recommended that the policy be updated to include what Classification's responsibilities are after they are notified. The policy has staff notifying classification, but does not address Classification's obligation to act immediately.

The auditor notes that Policy 3.1.16 has been updated to include staff obligation to act immediately. The facility is determined to be compliant with this Standard.

115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The facility does have a policy requiring that, upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. Furthermore, does not have a policy that requires that the facility head provide such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation and document such in the same time period. The PREA Coordinator advises that in this scenario, they would handle it notification immediately, and but does not have policy that it would be followed up with documentation. Standard procedure for the facility for all investigations and reports are documentation, but specifically calling this out in policy, regarding this standard, would be the best practice.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor revisited the facility during the Corrective Action Period and notes that Policy 3.1.16 has been updated to include the following language: "If an inmate reports having been sexually abused at another facility, the Custody Captain shall notify the head of that agency as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation.

It is determined that the facility is compliant with this Standard.

115.64 Staff first responder duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Staff interviewed was very well-versed in how to respond and how to protect a scene. Policy 3.1.16, Section XIII is detailed in directing and guiding staff in how to protect evidence in their initial response to a sexual abuse case.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm

Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

| 115.65 | Coordinated response |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated. |
| | Policy 3.1.16 spells out a comprehensive response plan, with individual's roles identified. |
| | Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard. |

| 115.66 | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers |
|---|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, in and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture h observed and evaluated. | |
| | The collective bargaining unit agreements have been reviewed. The facility, the agency or any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf have not entered any agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted. |
| | Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard. |

| 115.67 | 115.67 | Agency protection against retaliation |
|--------|--------|---|
| | | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

While policy addresses employee classifications for those who monitor retaliation, staff members interviewed did not readily know who is assigned to monitor for retaliation. Furthermore, in interviewing the PREA Coordinator, he advises that the primary responsibility for this should fall to him and that he does do periodic checks on alleged victims, rather than a wider group checking in with them, to help inmates maintain confidentiality in their cases.

The auditor revisited the facility during the Corrective Action Period. Policy 3.1.16, Section XIV is updated identify staff responsible for monitoring retaliation. "The Duty Sergeant, Watch Commander, or Classification shall ensure that the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who have reported sexual abuse or cooperated with investigations is monitored for signs of retaliation for at least ninety (90) days following their report or cooperation."

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.68 Post-allegation protective custody

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Policy 3.2.3 lists the reasons and/or categories for segregation or protective custody. Placement of inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse is not one of the categories.

John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The auditor determined that those investigating are experienced in investigations including sexual abuse, however they do not take training that is specific to conducting such investigations in confinement settings.

The auditor recommends the investigators, and possibly first responders to an incident, take the training at the following link, or a similar curriculum provided by various entities. https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/resource/specialized-training-investigating-sexual-abuse-confinement-settings

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor revisited the facility during the Corrective Action Period. Policy 3.1.13 Section IV provides for a coordinated response, including medical staff's involvement. The overarching Sheriff's Office policies include written reports for investigations and the agency is under the State of California's records retention law for maintaining the reports.

Since the initial onsite portion of the audit, investigators have completed National Institute of Corrections (NIC) training for investigating sexual abuse crimes in confined settings.

It is determined that the facility is compliant with this Standard.

115.72 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Policy 1000.5.5 states: "All completed investigations shall be forwarded to the Sheriff, or if the allegations may reasonably involve the Sheriff, to the County Administrator. The Sheriff or County Administrator shall review the investigation and determine whether any allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment have been substantiated by a preponderance of the evidence."

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm

Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.73 Reporting to inmates

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Policy 3.1.16 Section XVI addresses "reporting to inmates". The facility has a policy to inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. Additionally, should the allegation of abuse involve a staff member, the inmate will be informed when any of the following occur:

- A. The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's housing unit.
- B. The staff member is no longer employed at the Sheriff's Office.
- C. The staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.
- D. The staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.76 Disciplinary sanctions for staff

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Policy 3.1.16 addresses employee disciplinary sanctions. Specifically, section XVIII provides for sanctions up to and including termination, with termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in any sexual touching. All terminations (including terminations) for such cases shall be reported to law enforcement and licensing bodies.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

| 115.77 | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated. |
| | Policy 3.1.16 states that "any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse or sexual misconduct shall have their security clearance revoked, and shall be reported to law enforcement and appropriate licensing body." |
| | Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard. |

| 115.78 | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated. |
| | Policy 3.1.16 states that when inmates are found guilty of committing sexual assault, the facility will pursue punitive sanctions in the highest degree, up to and including criminal prosecution. The facility takes into account the inmates disabilities and/or mental illness. |
| | Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard. |

| 115.81 | 115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard | | | |

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Policy 337 addresses the elements of this Standard to include referral to medical and mental health providers within 14 days when prior sexual victimization is known. The policy and interviews with the providers indicate that obtain informed consent before reporting information learned.

Specifically mental health and medical contracted staff verified that they are involved early with inmates that have reported abuse, either while in the facility, or previously. Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.82 Access to emergency medical and mental health services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

Through these interviews with medical and mental health staff, as well as reviewing Policy 337, there is sufficient evidence that the facility reports any abuse immediately to medical and mental health staff, emergency contraception is provided, and the services are provided at no charge to the inmate regardless whether he/she cooperates with the investigation.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A

thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

In reviewing Policy 337 and conducting interviews of both medical and mental health providers at the facility, the facility makes the appropriate referrals. The care is the same care that is available to those in the community, if not exceeding that provided in the community. The County General Hospital is nearby the jail and is the primary care facility with SANE in addition to the correctional healthcare services at the facility. A treatment plan, with continuity of care in mind is developed to include any follow up needed.

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews

Auditor Overall Determination: Does Not Meet Standard

Auditor Discussion

A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

The facility does not yet have fully formed and documented review team. During interviews, the auditor learned that an ad-hoc team has been formed and did review one recent sexual abuse investigation. The facility will need to document who the members will be, how the committee will function, when it will review an incident, and what actions or recommendations it makes.

During the initial onsite portion of the audit, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be non-compliant with the elements of this Standard.

The auditor visited the facility during the Corrective Action Period. The facility has drafted and adopted an updated 3.1.16 policy that includes Section XX. Data Collection and Review.

Although the policy meets the Standard, the new policy, with the identified members and their mandated review had yet to meet in this format and provide responses to each of the items listed. While the ad hoc committee had previously met, and a policy now in place, the facility is set to institutionalize this practice over time.

Until an/the auditor has evidence of the new policy being utilized fully, it is determined that this facility is not compliant with this Standard.

| 115.87 | Data collection |
|--------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated. |
| | The facility is gathering the data and reporting it in a consistent and uniform manner. With the addition of a formal review committee, the follow-up with what is done with the data, besides reporting and posting it, will become a catalyst for change for the agency, where applicable. |
| | Several years of SSV and the 2022 Annual PREA Report are available on the Sheriff's Office Website. https://sjsheriff.org/prea |
| | Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard. |

| 115.88 | Data review for corrective action |
|--|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conduct thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture observed and evaluated. | |
| | While there is evidence through interviews and actions taken by the facility, the newly formed review process will help memorialize this practice. |
| | Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard. |

| 115.89 | Data storage, publication, and destruction |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | A review of policy, practices, and supporting documents has been conducted. A |

thorough on-site tour has been conducted. Staff, contractors, volunteers, inmates and partner agencies have been interviewed. Facility practice and culture has been observed and evaluated.

While the facility is publishing the data, it is recommended that policy is developed requiring it to be published. During the Corrective Action Period visit, the auditor was provided an updated Policy 3.1.16, Section XX that states "The report shall be made available on the Sheriff's website." Refer to: https://sjsheriff.org/prea

Following the comprehensive review, The John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex is determined to be compliant with the elements of this Standard.

| 115.401 | Frequency and scope of audits |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | It should be noted that this is the facility's first PREA audit. The agency is working to become fully compliant and provided full access to the facility, records, staff, and inmates. |
| | While there are still several Standards that need changes/additions institutionalized, staff of every classification was welcoming and had a positive approach to working through the non-compliant Standards. |
| | Following the onsite phase of the John Zunino Jail Complex and Honor Farm Complex, the facility is determined to be compliant with the elements of this particular Standard, although they have not had any other audits following the Federal implementation of PREA in August 20, 2013. |

| 115.403 | Audit contents and findings |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | N/A - No previous audits have been conducted for this facility. |

| Appendix: Provision Findings | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 115.11 (a) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | |
| | Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.11 (b) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassmer coordinator | nt; PREA |
| | Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? | yes |
| | Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? | yes |
| | Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? | yes |
| 115.11 (c) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment coordinator | nt; PREA |
| | If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | na |
| | Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | na |
| 115.12 (a) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement o | f inmates |
| | If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | na |
| 115.12 (b) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement o | f inmates |
| | Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure | na |

| | that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 115.13 (a) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? | no |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? | no |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into | yes |

| | consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? | no |
| 115.13 (b) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) | na |
| 115.13 (c) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? | yes |
| 115.13 (d) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? | yes |

| 115.14 (a) | Youthful inmates | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.14 (b) | Youthful inmates | |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.14 (c) | Youthful inmates | |
| | Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.15 (a) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? | yes |
| 115.15 (b) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat- down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | yes |
| | Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the | yes |

| | facility does not have female inmates.) | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 115.15 (c) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? | yes |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)? | yes |
| 115.15 (d) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? | no |
| 115.15 (e) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? | yes |
| | If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? | no |
| 115.15 (f) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |

| 115.16 (a) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited proficient | l English |
|------------|--|-----------|
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication | yes |

| | <u> </u> | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| | with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? | |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| 115.16 (b) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited proficient | l English |
| | Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| 115.16 (c) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited proficient | l English |
| | Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? | yes |
| | 3 | |
| 115.17 (a) | | |
| 115.17 (a) | | no |
| 115.17 (a) | Hiring and promotion decisions Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile | no |
| 115.17 (a) | Hiring and promotion decisions Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent | |

| | may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | no |
| 115.17 (b) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| 115.17 (c) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? | yes |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.17 (d) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |

| 115.17 (e) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? | yes |
| 115.17 (f) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? | yes |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? | no |
| | Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? | yes |
| 115.17 (g) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? | yes |
| 115.17 (h) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) | yes |
| 115.18 (a) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |
| | If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | na |
| 115.18 (b) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |

| | If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | yes |
|------------|---|-----|
| 115.21 (a) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.21 (b) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | na |
| | Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.21 (c) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? | yes |
| | Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? | yes |
| | If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? | yes |

| | Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? | yes |
|------------|---|--------|
| 115.21 (d) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? | yes |
| | If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? | yes |
| 115.21 (e) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? | yes |
| | As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? | yes |
| 115.21 (f) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | na |
| 115.21 (h) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| 115.22 (a) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig | ations |

| | <u> </u> | |
|------------|---|--------|
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.22 (b) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig | ations |
| | Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? | yes |
| | Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? | no |
| | Does the agency document all such referrals? | yes |
| 115.22 (c) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig | ations |
| | If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) | na |
| | | |
| 115.31 (a) | Employee training | |
| 115.31 (a) | Employee training Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.31 (a) | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual | yes |
| 115.31 (a) | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, | |
| 115.31 (a) | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual | yes |
| 115.31 (a) | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from | yes |

| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? | yes |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? | yes |
| 115.31 (b) | Employee training | |
| | Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? | yes |
| | Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? | yes |
| 115.31 (c) | Employee training | |
| | Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? | yes |
| | In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? | no |
| 115.31 (d) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.32 (a) | Volunteer and contractor training | |

| | | , |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| 115.32 (b) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? | yes |
| 115.32 (c) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.33 (a) | Inmate education | |
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.33 (b) | Inmate education | |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.33 (c) | Inmate education | |
| | Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? | no |
| | | |

| | Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? | yes |
|--------------------------|--|-----|
| 115.33 (d) | Inmate education | |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? | yes |
| 115.33 (e) | Inmate education | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? | no |
| | | |
| 115.33 (f) | Inmate education | |
| 115.33 (f) | Inmate education In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? | no |
| 115.33 (f) 115.34 (a) | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? | no |
| | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? | no |
| | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? Specialized training: Investigations In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | |
| 115.34 (a) | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? Specialized training: Investigations In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | |

| | Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | no |
| | Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | no |
| 115.34 (c) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | no |
| 115.35 (a) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental | yes |
| | health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | |

| | suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 115.35 (b) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) | na |
| 115.35 (c) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| 115.35 (d) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) | yes |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) | yes |
| 115.41 (a) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | no |
| | Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | no |
| 115.41 (b) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? | yes |
| 115.41 (c) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective | yes |
| | | |

| | screening instrument? | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 115.41 (d) | L5.41 (d) Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender nonconforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? | no |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) | yes |

| | Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? | | |
|------------|---|-----|--|
| 115.41 (e) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? | yes | |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? | yes | |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? | yes | |
| 115.41 (f) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? | yes | |
| 115.41 (g) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? | yes | |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? | yes | |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? | yes | |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? | yes | |
| 115.41 (h) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs $(d)(1)$, $(d)(7)$, $(d)(8)$, or $(d)(9)$ of this section? | yes | |
| 115.41 (i) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive | yes | |

| | information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 115.42 (a) | Use of screening information | |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? | no |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? | no |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? | no |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? | yes |
| 115.42 (b) | Use of screening information | |
| | Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? | yes |
| 115.42 (c) | Use of screening information | |
| | When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? | no |
| | When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would | no |

| | present management or security problems? | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 115.42 (d) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? | no |
| 115.42 (e) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? | no |
| 115.42 (f) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? | yes |
| 115.42 (g) | Use of screening information | |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing | yes |

| | solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 115.43 (a) | Protective Custody | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? | yes |
| | If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? | yes |
| 115.43 (b) | Protective Custody | |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? | yes |
| | If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | na |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | na |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | na |
| 115.43 (c) | Protective Custody | |

| | Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? | yes |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? | yes |
| 115.43 (d) | Protective Custody | |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? | yes |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? | yes |
| 115.43 (e) | Protective Custody | |
| | In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? | yes |
| 115.51 (a) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.51 (b) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? | yes |
| | Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? | yes |
| | | 1 |
| | Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain | yes |

| | anonymous upon request? | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | na |
| 115.51 (c) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? | yes |
| | Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.51 (d) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? | yes |
| 115.52 (a) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. | no |
| 115.52 (b) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (c) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from | yes |

| | this standard.) | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (d) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (e) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (f) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |

| | | , |
|------------|--|-----|
| | including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | |
| | Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? | yes |
| 115.53 (b) | Inmate access to outside confidential support service | es |
| | Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.53 (c) | Inmate access to outside confidential support service | es |
| | Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? | yes |
| 115.54 (a) | Third-party reporting | |
| | Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? | yes |
| 115.61 (a) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? | no |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | no |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual | no |

| | abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 115.61 (b) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? | yes |
| 115.61 (c) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? | yes |
| 115.61 (d) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.61 (e) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? | yes |
| 115.62 (a) | Agency protection duties | |
| | When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? | yes |
| 115.63 (a) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? | yes |
| 115.63 (b) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? | yes |

| 115.63 (c) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? | no |
| 115.63 (d) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? | yes |
| 115.64 (a) | Staff first responder duties | |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| 115.64 (b) | Staff first responder duties | |
| | If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? | yes |
| 115.65 (a) | Coordinated response | |
| | Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in | yes |

| | response to an incident of sexual abuse? | |
|------------|---|---------|
| 115.66 (a) | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact abusers | ct with |
| | Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? | yes |
| 115.67 (a) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? | yes |
| 115.67 (b) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? | yes |
| 115.67 (c) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of | yes |

| | sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? | yes |
| 115.67 (d) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? | yes |
| 115.67 (e) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? | yes |
| 115.68 (a) | Post-allegation protective custody | |
| | Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? | yes |
| 115.71 (a) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations | yes |
| | | |

| | of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | |
|--------------------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/ facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.71 (b) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? | no |
| 115.71 (c) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? | yes |
| | Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? | yes |
| | Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? | yes |
| 115.71 (d) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled | yes |
| | interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? | |
| 115.71 (e) | · | |
| 115.71 (e) | prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (e) | prosecution? Criminal and administrative agency investigations Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of | yes |
| 115.71 (e) 115.71 (f) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition | |
| | Criminal and administrative agency investigations Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? | |

| | Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? | yes |
|------------|--|-----|
| 115.71 (g) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? | yes |
| 115.71 (h) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (i) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? | yes |
| 115.71 (j) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? | yes |
| 115.71 (I) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.72 (a) | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigation | S |
| | Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? | yes |
| 115.73 (a) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? | yes |

| 115.73 (b) | Reporting to inmates | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) | yes |
| 115.73 (c) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| 115.73 (d) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually | yes |

| | abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 115.73 (e) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? | yes |
| 115.76 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.76 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.76 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.76 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.77 (a) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |

| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes | |
|------------|---|-----|--|
| 115.77 (b) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | | |
| | In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? | yes | |
| 115.78 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | | |
| | Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? | yes | |
| 115.78 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | | |
| | Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? | yes | |
| 115.78 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | | |
| | When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? | yes | |
| 115.78 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | | |
| | If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? | yes | |
| 115.78 (e) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | | |
| | Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? | yes | |
| 115.78 (f) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | | |
| | For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish | yes | |

| | evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? | | |
|------------|---|-----------|--|
| 115.78 (g) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | | |
| | If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) | yes | |
| 115.81 (a) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison). | yes | |
| 115.81 (b) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) | yes | |
| 115.81 (c) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail). | yes | |
| 115.81 (d) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse | |
| | Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? | yes | |
| 115.81 (e) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse | |
| | Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior | yes | |

| | sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? | | |
|------------|---|------|--|
| 115.82 (a) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | | |
| | Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? | yes | |
| 115.82 (b) | Access to emergency medical and mental health serv | ices | |
| | If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? | yes | |
| | Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? | yes | |
| 115.82 (c) | Access to emergency medical and mental health serv | ices | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? | yes | |
| 115.82 (d) | Access to emergency medical and mental health serv | ices | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes | |
| 115.83 (a) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? | yes | |
| 115.83 (b) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers | buse | |
| | Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? | yes | |
| 115.83 (c) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a | buse | |
| | | | |

| | victims and abusers | | |
|------------|---|------|--|
| | Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? | yes | |
| 115.83 (d) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes | |
| 115.83 (e) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes | |
| 115.83 (f) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? | yes | |
| 115.83 (g) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes | |
| 115.83 (h) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers | buse | |
| | If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) | na | |

| 115.86 (a) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
|------------|---|----|
| | Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? | no |
| 115.86 (b) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? | no |
| 115.86 (c) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? | no |
| 115.86 (d) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? | no |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? | no |
| | Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? | no |
| | Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? | no |
| | Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? | no |
| | Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? | no |
| 115.86 (e) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? | no |

| 115.87 (a) | Data collection | |
|--------------------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? | yes |
| 115.87 (b) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? | yes |
| 115.87 (c) | Data collection | |
| | Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? | yes |
| 115.87 (d) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? | yes |
| 115.87 (e) | Data collection | |
| | Door the enemy also obtain insident based and annual to the | |
| | Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) | na |
| 115.87 (f) | from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for | na |
| 115.87 (f) | from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) | yes |
| 115.87 (f) 115.88 (a) | from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) Data collection Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than | |
| | from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) Data collection Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) | |
| | from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) Data collection Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) Data review for corrective action Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, | yes |

| | to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? | |
|----------------|--|-----|
| 115.88 (b) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.88 (c) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.88 (d) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? | yes |
| 115.89 (a) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? | yes |
| 115.89 (b) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.89 (c) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? | yes |
| 115.89 (d) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? | yes |
| 115.401 (a) | Frequency and scope of audits | |

| | During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | no |
|----------------|--|-----|
| 115.401 (b) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | no |
| | If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) | yes |
| | If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) | no |
| 115.401 (h) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? | yes |
| 115.401 (i) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? | yes |
| 115.401 (m) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? | yes |
| 115.401 (n) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were | yes |
| | communicating with legal counsel? | |

| (f) | | |
|-----|---|----|
| | The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) | na |